The Natives Are Getting Restless

Where are John Wayne and the Marlboro man when you need them? America is on the verge of a socio-political revolution - the likes of which our generation has only dreamed of - which will kick the current elite white male power structure in its collective crotch. The same power structure that annihilated the Native American culture. The one that created slavery and fought a war to keep it. The one using the most archaic curricula with regurgitated lectures on our nation's campuses in order to protect its tenure.

The one paddling the corporate wallet as it wrecks the environment which offers life. The one with the three-piece suits and briefcases controlling the wars without ever explaining why the wars are fought. The one who ignored Anita Hill and continues to lunge at the head of the Republicans ever since.

But now, I guess we are getting restless, and the horse is getting tired. What's left in opposition may look like an eclectic band of minorities that is disorganized and unfocused, which begs yet another question. Who can come to the front as an effective leader to unite all that is "left"?

Bill Clinton is trying, and he is currently enjoying a surprising amount of success. In addition, the amount of women pursuing Congressional seats is unprecedented, with those results looking promising as well. But it's not over yet.

The final decision ultimately lies in the hands of the individual. The individual who votes on November third. As voters we must take a hard look at what is going on and plan our actions accordingly. It's our choice.

Our generation will be the first one in the history of this nation to inherit a standard of living lower than that of their parents. It's time for change! Change is difficult to initiate and it is easy to resist. The time is now, As Goethe says.

"Boldness has genius, power, and magic in it." We must take a stand and change the direction of the entire political system. The effects may not be seen immediately in November, or even in the next few months. But, in time, we must demand that they become a reality.

T. Harold
Hall's Got No Balls

A particular advertisement has stirred up some controversy in certain local journalistic circles. The ad was to promote a multi-state art exhibit titled, "She's Back", sponsored by The Artist Network. The controversy revolves around the First Amendment, specifically the subject, the First Amendment.

According to Amalia Ditras, the project coordinator of the exhibit, Hall also declined to meet with Nik Ratzlaff, the exhibit's assistant coordinator, to discuss the issue, but later agreed to a phone conversation. Specifically, the image in the ad wasn't the reason for the refusal to print. Ratzlaff informed The Anti that Hall would offer no definitive reason yanking the ad except that it was "suggestive."

The Anti had the privilege of discussing this First Amendment issue with Mr. Hall "personally" on the phone. Admitting his refusal to print the ad, Hall stated, "We [Kearney Hub] don't have to give any reason, why we just say, we won't accept it." We asked Hall about the Hub having criteria for community standards, or what they consider offensive or obscene. Hall commented that it is, "Hard to put that in writing or verbalize...you [The Hub] just have to look at something and make your determination."

Later in the conversation he indicated that it is, "Fair to say" that his newspaper is a "Family Newspaper" and would rather you not filter news and submissions to meet "community standards."

This is not a freedom of the press issue because Ratzlaff has other places he can go to get printed, "That's a fundamental right of a newspaper or any publication.

In all fairness, possibly you are "right" Mr. Hall, probably in more ways than one. Possibly the people of Kearney do need your moral guidance. Or possibly, the people in this community are mature and intelligent enough to decide for themselves and would rather you not filter news and submissions to meet "community standards."

There's no way to know without asking, so let us at The Anti do you Hub folks a favor. Realize, Mr. Hall, you want to be conscience of what the Hub represents so let's ask the community what they think. We will print the results of this survey in our next issue.

-Matt Rossell

please answer these questions and return survey to the Anti-Lope p.o. box 2392
1. I find the image in the "She's Back" ad offensive
2. I find the image in the ad obscene
3. I find the image in the ad suggestive (if yes, of what?)
Feel free to explain any answer and elaborate on anything you see as valid or pertinent to this survey.
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EDITORIAL COMMENT!

HAVE YOU HEARD ABOUT THE LATEST STUDENT "CIRCUS" BLUNDER? STUDENT SENATE HAS ALLOCATED THEMSELVES $2000 OF YOUR ACTIVITY MONEY TO " " 2 SENATORS TO D.C.!!

TO MAKE IT WORSE, THEY WENT INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DO IT! THAT MEANS EVERYONE HAD TO LEAVE THE ROOM SO SENATE COULD STEAL YOUR MONEY WITHOUT PROTEST. THIS ACTION VIOLATES NE "PUBLIC MEETINGS LAWS AS WELL AS ROBERTS RULES" WHICH STUDENT SENATE CLAIMS TO FOLLOW!

STUDENT SENATE HAS REJECTED ALL OTHER STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS TRAVEL AND CONFERENCE EXPENSES ... WHY NOT NOW?

WAKE UP AND ACT—NOW!

IF YOUR BANK SPENT 2000 BUCKS FROM YOUR ACCOUNT YOU WOULDN'T JUST ROLL WITH IT... DON'T LET YOUR FELLOW STUDENTS STEAL FROM YOU WITHOUT A FIGHT!

BRING YOUR OPINION TO SENATE TUESDAYS AT 6:00 OAKROOM—UNION

hey... are you going to the student senate meeting? Yeah, more shit goes down there than anywhere on campus!

FREE YOUR SPEECH!

Don't wait for the next Anti...

Let chalk be your brush and concrete be your canvas. Leave your message where people are looking; at the ground. Let's turn this campus into a land of magic chalk drawings and see if the things we draw will come true!!
This is a 10 question true or false quiz. Answer at will.

1. White is a mixture of all colors of the spectrum.
2. A cigarette doesn’t take seven minutes off of your life if you only smoke half of it.
3. Michelangelo is a highly seasoned ravioli.
4. There is a lot of bullshit in this world that I can’t recognize, and when I finally do recognize it, I can’t articulate the way I feel about it.
5. Procrastination can be a great party game.
6. The expulsion of Adam and Eve from Eden was because of lack of aesthetic appreciation on the part of God.
7. A college is a place of higher learning.
8. Money is only green paper with a big head.
9. Whistler’s mother was off her rocker.
10. Money is only green paper with a big head.

In order to understand how time can be used to prove the existence of other objects or ideas, we must first understand time. Yet, time itself is non-existent, for there is no proof of time. Like so many other things, time only exists according to the terms by which it is measured. In other words, if time were not measured it would not exist. Humans have created the idea of measuring time for their own reasons. For the purpose of this article, we can say that time was created to prove the existence of things outside your mind. (The actual purpose of time is quite another matter.)

Hence, we have created our own system of measuring time based on the rotations of the planets and the description of days in the Bible.

The system of measurement is essentially linear. Geometrically, the passage of time could be described as a ray. A ray, by definition, begins at one point and goes on forever in one direction. Thus, viewed as a ray, time had a set beginning and is traveling along in a given direction for an indefinite period. According to this analogy, “segments of time” are merely segments on the ray. The ray illustration also explains why time travel is impossible, for a ray only exists in one direction. Time cannot exist in multiple directions. It is measured in a chronological fashion which progresses according to the sequence we have created. Since the direction of a ray cannot change, the direction of time cannot change either.

But how does this bullshit prove the existence of your room-mate, or anything else for that matter. Aside from the “now” which vanishes immediately, the only way of knowing that your room-mate exists is through your memory. You remember seeing her this morning, and you will see her again tonight. Without the measurement of time there would be absolutely no way of separating these two events. Without time measurement the world would be in a constant state of “now” and nothing would exist. Even the mere fact that you can read this article is only possible because of time, for if you did not pass you would have absolutely no way of determining what you had done to move from the top of this page to here. Nor would you be able to mentally comprehend the difference between reading this article and not reading it. In other words, time can be used to prove the existence of material objects—your room-mate—as well as non material ideas—such as the understanding of this article. Take some time to think about it.

T. Harold
Punctuate at Will:

You see this was the Secret: To Gill and fill up the answering machine tape with words and words. If you were caught you had to immediately HANG UP helping the person on the other end, had to recognize your voice and call back when you were not home, and use all the answering machine tape.

It was a wild scene that would last long into the night. I was class movie played over the phone lines with only your voice and with the class talking into your machine, I did not make the call.

This was always my opening and closing line: "Sorry, I can't do it any more. Need a break."

The Secret was this: My voice was everywhere, I made bathing suits, I made the call.

I woke in the middle of the night and called the answering machine tape. "Hello, you have reached 774-5408, we have no machine users."

Right now. Please leave a message."

I made this call at 6:45 am, on the night of the first campus security, and after the first campus security, I made the call. After a few minutes, I interrupted it and told her what I had heard. I told her I couldn't get through to campus security and asked if she could send an officer to check it out.

She told me that the police aren't allowed to go on campus because they have their own private property and then gave me a car phone number of campus security, the campus police. I called the number and after several rings hung up. I was so mad at this point. I asked my boyfriend, Scott, to come outside with me to see if he was there. We rounded up about seven guys and combed the parking lot.

We didn't see anything, but over the hour we had passed. The whole time we were in the parking lot, not one campus security drove by. I was so mad that there wasn't anyone around. I stood in front of Mantor Hall waiting to yell at the first campus security car, but one didn't drive by.

How effective is campus security? It's supposed to call when we are in need of help. Who knows if there was a rape that night, or if there was, why don't we ever hear about it? The police and campus security seem useless.

-Caity Goddard

Campus Suckidity

In response to the above letter from Casey, The Anti spoke to both Officer Lewis from campus security and Sergeant Dreyer of the Kearney Police Department. They, too, seem to be unsure of who is responsible for the protection of the students of the University of Nebraska at Kearney. We were told by Dreyer that campus security is the law enforcing body of the UNK and that campus security police officer cannot come on campus. When asked if the police can aid a student in campus security, it's not available. Sgt. Dreyer replied.

"We [Kearney police] cannot come on campus without letting them [campus security] know but would try to get a hold of campus security for the student."

They, then, asked Lewis, of campus security, her "opinion." She said.

"If campus security is on another call and can't be reached, the student is to call the police and they [police] will come to campus." She said this is expected, even though campus security's knowledge or consent. When asked if there are any restrictions, Lewis replied, "No."

Kind of contradicting, huh?

The Anti also conducted an investigation on the case of reaching campus security by phone. Campus security is on duty 24-hours a day, however, on several occasions, we called campus security and didn't get an answer. And, for some of you Anti-readers who don't know this, as we did not, the campus security number that is in the phone book and recorded on campus information's answering machine is only in service until 5:00 pm on weekdays and not at all on weekends. The number should be called after hours is campus security's mobile phone.

When campus security's office is closed, campus security doesn't even have an answering machine that operates the mobile phone number. How many students know this number? Possibly a few of the on-campus students who actually know where their student handbook is. The handbook is the only place The Anti could find it printed.

The October '91 issue of The Anti-Lope addressed rape at UNK and in an interview with Bill Davis, head of campus security, The Anti suggested installing emergency phones as a safety feature on campus.

To our surprise, 3 emergency phones were placed on campus. One on the northeast side of the Nebraska Student Union, one between west and east campus, and one in the middle of west campus. This is definitely an improvement, but 3 phones just isn't going to cut it!

Regardless of the brilliance of this cosmetic move, we need more phones and people to know they exist! We found another wrinkle in our security facade - these phones are useless if security isn't going to respond!
Rethinking our relationship to psychoactive plants.

The time has come to rethink our fascination with the use of psychoactive drugs and plants. We must learn from the excesses of the past, especially the 1960's, but we cannot simply advocate "Just say no!" any more than we can advocate "Try it, you'll like it!" Nor can we support a view that wishes to divide society into users and non-users. We need a comprehensive approach to these questions that encompasses the deeper evolutionary and historical implications. The suppression of the natural human fascination with altered states of consciousness and the present perilous situation of all life is intimately and causally connected.

When we suppress access to shamanic ecstasy, we close off the refreshing waters of emotion that flow from having a deeply bonded, almost symbiotic relationship to the earth.

As a consequence, the maladaptive social styles that encourage overpopulation, resource mismanagement, and environmental toxification develop and maintain themselves. Now, culture on earth is a heavily narcotized to the consequences of maladaptive behavior at the industrial West. We pursue a business-as-usual attitude in a surreal atmosphere of mounting crises and inescapable contradictions. As a species, we will continue to play with half a deck as long as we continue to tolerate cardinals of government and science who presume to dictate where human curiosity can legitimately focus its attention and where it cannot. Such restrictions on the human imagination are demeaning and preposterous.

The government not only restricts research on psychedelics that could conceivably yield valuable psychological and medical insights, it presumes to prevent religious and spiritual use of them as well. In fact, it is not a religious sensibility that is being expressed, but the religious sensibility, an experience of religios based on plant-human relationships that were in place long before the advent of history.

Deep-seated cultural biases explain why contemplating drugs suddenly turns the Western mind anxious and repressive. Substance-induced changes in consciousnes dramatically reveal that our mental life has physical foundations. Psychoactive drugs that challenge the Christian assumptions of the inviolability and special status of the soul. Similarly, they challenge the modern idea of the ego and its inviolability and control structures. In short, encounters with psychoactive plants throw into question the entire worldview of Western culture.

Toxicification by the poisonous by-products of technology and egocentric ideology, our culture is the unhappier inheritor of the attitude that alteration of consciousness by the use of plants or substances is somehow wrong, self-gratifying and perversely anti-social.

I would argue that suppression of the ecstatic dissolution of the ego has robbed us of life's meaning and made us enemies of the planet, of ourselves, and of our grandchildren. We are killing the planet in order to keep intact wrongheaded assumptions. It is time for change.

-- Terence McKenna
from: Food of the Gods: The Search for the Original Tree of Knowledge

**JUST SAY "YES"**

Roos Perot is rolling up his sleeves and rolling back America.

He's searching the USA to create something special: innovative politics made to his own standards that he is proud to call "Ross' American Choice." Ross believes his programs—available only from a Perot presidency—offer better politics than the two major parties are presenting: quick fix politics involving only economic policy. Ross thinks that you'll agree. If not, let him know and he'll change it, no questions asked. He's there to serve you. Always.

Just think about the benefits of a Ross Perot White House. Homeless people in Washington D.C. will be greeted at the front door of the White House and offered a shopping cart. Interest will stay at the same low rate every day. Always! The Supreme Court will create a new "Express Court" for cases with less than eight appeals. Taxes will be payable with "American Express." Members of Congress will be called by their first name when they write bad checks. The Pentagon will offer easy returns on weapons sold to small nations, no questions asked.

So remember, show up at your local Wal-Mart on November third and vote Perot to ensure that the spirit of Sam lives on in the presidency of Ross.

**MISSION STATEMENT**

The Anti-Lope is a free and alternative newspaper dedicated to free speech and thought. We serve as an outlet for people, who might otherwise remain silent, to express themselves without fear of persecution. We do not believe in the so-called "objectivity" touted as journalistic standard by the mainstream capitalist press. To us, the only honest journalism to one with an admitted bias. The Anti-Lope is biased in favor of all liberating movements for social change. As long as the day to day struggles of everyday people continue to get bastardized under the endless deluge of "false-insults," the Anti-Lope will be here uncomprosed and free for all those who believe the power of the press should be available to everyone, not just those who own one.

**WANTED**

Obnoxious Aggressive Guitarist for Fucking Thrash Band call Ken or Brian at 236-5536