University of Nebraska at Kearney

OpenSPACES@UNK: Scholarship, Preservation, and Creative **Endeavors**

General Education Council

5-17-2005

May 17, 2005 General Studies Council Minutes

University of Nebraska at Kearney General Studies Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://openspaces.unk.edu/genstudies



Part of the Higher Education Commons

Recommended Citation

University of Nebraska at Kearney General Studies Council, "May 17, 2005 General Studies Council Minutes" (2005). General Education Council. 194.

https://openspaces.unk.edu/genstudies/194

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by OpenSPACES@UNK: Scholarship, Preservation, and Creative Endeavors. It has been accepted for inclusion in General Education Council by an authorized administrator of OpenSPACES@UNK: Scholarship, Preservation, and Creative Endeavors. For more information, please contact weissell@unk.edu.

General Studies Assessment Retreat Summer Retreat May 17th, 2005

Morning session

Present: Bridges, Lillis, Moorman, Daake, Kropp, Cisler, Powell, & Saldivar

Below is an overview of the topic/information covered:

- 1. A review of the activities of yesterday's retreat was covered.
- 2. An initiative was suggested to have a faculty orientation for those who teach GS courses (and possibly include information on Web Smart into that orientation, which was added to yesterday's comments).
- 3. It was discussed that the Registrar's Survey is a "satisfaction" survey, the Student Assessment Committee Survey was a survey of "student impressions" and the NSSE Survey is a survey that primarily measures student impressions regarding their degree of "engagement" in various academic and student life activities.

NSSE

Concerns:

- 1. The study of foreign language being such a lower mean was an expressed concern.
- 2. The lack of UNK's students having experiences outside of school with multicultural or diversity (i.e. studying abroad, international experiences) was an expressed concern.
- 3. There seems to be clusters of questions that are related to similar topics, which may link to the objectives of the GS Program.
- 4. Maybe the "perspective/categorical surveys" should be linked to more specific follow-ups of the NSSE "clusters."
- 5. It was mentioned that from year to year we will need to make sure that the NSSE kept the same numbers for each question and to link the questions with the objectives.
- 6. It was determined that the "clusters were linked to the following GS general objectives:
 - Objective 1 (ability to locate and gather. . .):
 - o 1a, 1c, 1d, 1i, 2d, 7h, 11b, 11j, 11m, 11n
 - Objective 2 (capacity for critical thinking. . .):
 - o 1c, 1d, 1i, 1t, 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, 3a, 6a, 7d, 7g, 7h, 11b, 11e, 11f, 11j, 11m, 11n
 - Objective 3 (effective communication skills. . .):

- 1a, 1b, 1c, 1t, 1p, 2b, 2c, 2d, 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, 7a, 7d, 7e, 7f, 7h, 8b, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11h, 11m
- Objective 4 (an understanding of the. . .):
 - o 1e, 1u, 6a, 7e, 7f, 10f, 11b, 11k, 11l, 11m
- Objective 5 (environment/climate...):
 - o 1n, 1o, 1k, 1q, 1s, 7b, 7c, 8b, 9a, 9d, 10a, 10b, 10e, 10f, 11i, 11o, 11p

7.

Comment:

We should go through the NSSE tables (organized by clusters) and determine which questions we'd like to know more about on the categorical/perspective surveys at the June 6th retreat.

Afternoon session

Present: Bridges, Lillis, Daake, Kropp, Cisler, Powell, & Saldivar

Below is an overview of the topic/information covered:

Standardized tests:

College Base (handouts of the test were given at the meeting along with c-base pilot comments)

- Pros:
 - Gives a normed score
 - Can be used diagnostically for students
- Cons:
 - Some stuff on it is content driven and may not be taught within each course
- Notes
 - Individual subtests take about an hour for students to complete
 - Approximately \$2200-\$2500 cost estimate based on 200 students (100 fresh/100 seniors)

Collegiate Learning Assessment (handouts of the test were given at the meeting)

- Notes
 - Developed to measure critical thinking through writing
 - Approximately \$6300 cost estimate based on 200 students (100 fresh/100 juniors).
 - It was mentioned that it may make more sense to look at UNK's current writing data from different departments.

CAAP by ACT (handouts of the test were given at the meeting)

- Notes
 - The use of this test would eliminate a pre and post test as the majority of incoming freshmen would have already taken the ACT for admittance to UNK.
 - The students can take just the modules that best fit what we want to determine
 - The writing portion can be scored locally
 - The test scores on the CAAP can be matched to the individual ACT scores of students as a direct measure of growth in test performance

ETS Pilot of a new test for Technology

- Notes
 - This test is concerned with assessing technology for college students.
 - o It is unclear of the specifics of the test at this point.

ETS Academic Profile

- Notes; Glen found this after the meeting
 - The Academic Profile consists of a battery of tests that can be used to measure General Education

Comments on Standardized Tests:

 So far for the assessment plan, it will say that we will examine what options are available to us and then look at what we are doing locally for the 05-06 school year.

- Determine if a norm test will provide information that is not otherwise available and then decide if one is needed.
- If a test is needed work out the logistics by sharing with faculty and gaining their acceptance of it and deciding how best to administer it.
- It was decided to drop getting the students' GRE data from the GS assessment plan.