

# GRADUATE REVIEW

## SUMMARY EVALUATION GRID

Title of article reviewed:

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Poor	Comments
<b>1. Title/Abstract :</b>				
1.1 Concise, understandable and representative of manuscripts content				
<b>2. Introduction :</b>				
2.1 Problem				
2.2 Purpose				
2.3 Literature				
2.4 Hypothesis				
<b>3. Method according to the type of text submitted :</b>				
3.1 Relevance/appropriateness of the methodological approach for the type of study				
3.2 Rigour of the approach (systematization, structure, articulation)				
3.3 Methodological quality and clarity				
3.3.1 Quantitative analysis of data (if applicable)				
3.3.2 Qualitative analysis of data (if applicable)				
3.3.3 Specific approach (e.g., historical, philosophical, etc.)				
<b>4. Discussion:</b>				
4.1 Results				
4.2 Justification				
4.3 Interpretations presented and supported				
4.4 Limitations				
4.5 Conclusion statement				
4.6 References				
4.7 Tables/figures: Readable, Visually Appealing, Number of tables/figures				
<b>5. Style/Other Aspects (specify; e.g., ethical aspects) :</b>				
5.1 Clarity, conciseness, coherence of text				
5.2 Quality of the language and writing (syntax, vocabulary, spelling)				
5.3				
5.4				

## RECOMMENDATIONS

### 1. Overall judgement

I think that this article is acceptable for publication (see comments below):

- *As submitted.* \_\_\_\_\_
- *With the minor corrections indicated in the text and below* \_\_\_\_\_
- *With the major corrections suggested in the text and below* \_\_\_\_\_

I do not think that this article is acceptable for publication and I suggest that it be rejected (see comments below):

- *Because it is not appropriate for this journal, considering its aims and scope and editorial policy* \_\_\_\_\_
- *For the reasons discussed in the following comments* \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments to Author(s):**